



Field Testing Assesses Risk of Reducing Minimum Unit Load




With changes in the energy landscape, many older plants need to adapt to more flexible operation to fluctuating needs of the grid and remain economically viable. This flexibility often includes operation outside of the original design of the plant and its equipment.

A Midwest coal-fired power plant recently found themselves in this position. The plant had been designed for a minimum commercial load of 350MW, but they were considering reducing the load to 300MW. Plant engineering wanted to understand what risk operation at this low capacity posed to equipment reliability operation and life. The power producer contacted Hydro Reliability Services to develop an on-site test plan for their condensate, boiler feed booster, and boiler feed pumps to better understand how this operation would impact these critical pumps.

The test plan that was developed included collecting data while the pumps were running including



suction and discharge pressure, flow, motor amps, vibration, speed, and unit MW. During the first day of testing, data was taken for six hours as the unit load decreased from ~650 to 0MW with approximately 4 hours of run time between 300 and 350MW. A second day of testing recorded data for eight hours with four hours of data taken at the target 300MW load.



The field testing performed by [Hydro Reliability Services](#) identified potential resonant conditions, outlined operating modes that put equipment reliability at risk, and provided recommendations for future actions to improve operation.


Condensate pump testing revealed that both pumps were ~10% low on head when operating in parallel. A possible structural resonance was also observed in one of the condensate pumps. Based on the performance of the pumps in solo operation, it was recommended that the 1B pump, which was 15% low on head, be removed and refurbished.

Boiler feed booster pump testing identified a structural resonance excited by the 6x vane pass



frequency. This excitation was higher at lower loads. Another problem identified was flow asymmetry between the 1A and 1B pumps when operating at loads of 580MW and below.

Ultrasonic Flow Trend




Notice significant flow asymmetry between 1A BFBP and 1B BFBP


Testing of the boiler feed pumps revealed elevated amplitudes near 300MW and an axial structural resonance on the drive end of the 1A pump. The 1B pump also had a structural resonance excited by vane pass on the inboard bearing housing. It was recommended that an experimental modal analysis (EMA) be completed on both pumps to determine the best actions to resolve the resonance issues.



349 MW

1B Boiler Feed Pump Inboard Vertical




655 MW
1A Boiler Feed Pump Inboard Axial


After analyzing all of the collected data, Hydro Reliability Services concluded that it was safe to operate the unit at 300MW when running one condensate, one boiler feed booster, and two boiler feed pumps. They recommended that operation be reduced to one condensate and one boiler feed booster pump around 500MW unit load to avoid the flow asymmetry observed in the boiler feed booster pumps. With this data in hand, the plant was able to confidently establish a process for operation of this critical equipment that would maintain reliability and allow the unit to reduce power below the original design.